

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,475	10/03/2003	David C. Collins	200310621-1	3351	
22879	22879 7590 11/28/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			PATTERSO	N, MARC A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/678,475	COLLINS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc A. Patterson	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accessor	n from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) X Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 10, drawn to a solid freeform fabrication system, classified in class
 428, subclass 34.1.
 - II. Claims 11 20, drawn to a method for solid freeform fabrication, classified in class 427, subclass 100.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process, such as a method which does not include the supporting of overhangs.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Mr. W. Bradley Haymond on March 1, 2006, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1 10.

Art Unit: 1772

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

5 The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The claimed aspect in Claim 6 of an open cavity that is partially defined by the build material and partially open to a surface of the three – dimensional object was not disclosed in the original specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

REPEATED REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed aspect in Claim 6 of an open cavity that is partially defined by the build material and partially open to a surface of the three dimensional object was not disclosed in the original specification.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan et al (WO 98/21626).

With regard to Claim 1, Ryan et al disclose a solid freeform fabrication system (page 4, lines 6-10) for producing a three dimensional object (having more than one layer; page 18, lines 15-25) comprising build material configured to be deposited in layers to form a three dimensional object (functionalizing material deposited so as to substantially fill a channel, therefore configured to be deposited in layers to form a three dimensional object; page 23, lines 16-22) and support material configured to be deposited adjacent to the build material for supporting the build material during formation of the three dimensional object (a layer of relief forming polymer, therefore configured to be deposited, providing retaining features and therefore providing the channel which is filled by the functionalizing material and also forming a feature that imparts a predetermined property of retention, which is a property other than support, within the three – dimensional object; the three dimensional object also therefore comprises embedded features; page 18, lines 15-25).

Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

With regard to Claim 2, the claimed aspect of the build material disclosed by Ryan et al being deposited using an ink - jet printhead is directed to a method limitation and is therefore given little patentable weight.

With regard to Claim 3, the build material disclosed by Ryan et al is UV curable (page 19, lines 10 - 11).

With regard to Claim 4, the feature disclosed by Ryan et al is within a cavity defined by the build material (the feature is the property of retention, as stated above, and the retaining feature is filled with build material and therefore defined by the build material, as stated above).

With regard to Claim 5, the cavity disclosed by Ryan et al is a closed cavity that is completely defined by the build material (completely filled; page 22, line 29).

With regard to Claim 6, the cavity disclosed by Ryan et al is an open cavity that is partially defined by the build material and is partially open to a surface of the three dimensional object (partially filled; page 22, line 29; Figure 1e and g).

With regard to Claim 7, the feature disclosed by Ryan et al imparts color (the feature comprises a catalyst which is colored with a dye; page 15, lines 10 – 16 and page 16, lines 9 – 12).

With regard to Claim 8, the feature disclosed by Ryan et al imparts conductance (provides conductivity enhancement; page 16, line 28).

With regard to Claim 9, the build material disclosed by Ryan et al is transparent (non – UV curable, therefore transparent to UV radiation; page 18, lines 20 - 25).

With regard to Claim 10, the feature disclosed by Ryan et al comprises additional build material (the retaining feature disclosed by Ryan et al is partially or completely filled, as stated above, and therefore includes additional build material).

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

10. Applicant's arguments regarding the Claims 1 – 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan et al (WO 98/21626), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 7, that the specification has been amended to overcome the objection of the previous Action.

However, as stated above, the claimed aspect in Claim 6 of an open cavity that is partially defined by the build material and partially open to a surface of the three – dimensional object was not disclosed in the original specification. The objection is therefore repeated above.

Applicant also argues, on page 8, that the specification has been amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of the previous Action.

However, as stated above, the claimed aspect in Claim 6 of an open cavity that is partially defined by the build material and partially open to a surface of the three – dimensional object was not disclosed in the original specification. The amendment therefore constitutes new matter, as stated above.

Applicant also argues, on page 9, that the support material of Ryan et al does not impart color and conductivity enhancement.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,475 Page 7

Art Unit: 1772

However, it is not stated in the previous Action that color and conductivity enhancement are imparted by the support material.

Applicant also argues, on page 10, that a catalyst is not the support material of the claimed invention.

However, as stated above, a catalyst, as disclosed in Ryan et al, constitutes a support material.

Applicant also argues on page 10 that the support material disclosed by Ryan et al does not offer a feature in addition to support.

However, as stated above, the support material offers retention, in addition to support.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,475 Page 8

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772